UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                 | FILING DATE                | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/590,850                      | 08/25/2006                 | Kazuhiko Fujisawa    | 21089/0207151-US0   | 3799             |
| 7278<br>DARBY & DA              | 7590 11/04/200<br>RBY P.C. | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| P.O. BOX 770<br>Church Street S | tation                     | VALENROD, YEVGENY    |                     |                  |
| New York, NY                    |                            | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
|                                 |                            |                      | 1621                |                  |
|                                 |                            |                      |                     |                  |
|                                 |                            |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                                 |                            |                      | 11/04/2008          | PAPER            |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)    |  |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/590,850      | FUJISAWA ET AL. |  |
|                 |                 |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit        |  |

|  | JENNIFER Y. CHO   | 1621  |   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence add  | ress  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 12 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:   | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit<br>al (with appeal fee) in compliance  | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or                                      | hich places the (3) a Request                                 |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date   | of the final rejection.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac<br>no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la<br>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I  | ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing  | date of the final rejection   | n.  |  |  |  |  |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the si set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | <ul> <li>b).</li> <li>c).</li> <li>c).</li> <li>d).</li> <li>e).</li> <li>d).</li> <li>d).</li> <li>d).</li> <li>e).</li> <li>e).</li></ul> | 36(a) and the appropriat<br>of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic | e extension fee<br>ate extension fee<br>the action; or (2) as |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett  | nsideration and/or search (see NOT<br>w);   | E below);   |   |  |  |  |  |
| appeal; and/or   | ion form for appear by materially rec   |   | 10 100 400 101  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c<br>NOTE: The suggested amended claim 1 wi   | th the new limitation of 0.3 %  | % have not been ex  |   |  |  |  |  |
| this case. This would require the examine (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   | er to further consider and pos  | sibly further searc   | h this claim.   |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12   |   | mpliant Amendment (I  | PTOL-324).  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed to the following rejection would be allowed by the solid replacement.</li> </ul>  |   | imely filed amendmer  | nt canceling the  |  |  |  |  |
| non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov  |   | be entered and an ex  | xplanation of   |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  | idea below of appended.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:<br>Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-2, 7-10</u> .   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>3-6</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea<br>and was not earlier presented. Se  | ll and/or appellant fails<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)                                    | s to provide a<br>).  |  |  |  |  |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been allowed because.   | n considered but does NOT place t   | the application in conc   | dition for  |  |  |  |  |
| allowance because: The Examiner has carefully considered applica   |   | -   | •   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant has put forth substantially the same arguments that the examiner has responded to in a previous office action.   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (   | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 13. Other:   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |   |   |  |  |  |  |

## /SHAILENDRA - KUMAR/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20081024